## Homework \#5 (77+1 pts)

## Solutions

1. (3.3) The 379 channel model.
(a) (1 pt) The pulse response $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})$ is, by definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(t) & =\varphi(t) * h(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) *\left[\delta(t)-\frac{1}{2} \delta(t-T)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\left[\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) (2 pts) The magnitude of $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})$, is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|p\|^{2} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\varphi^{2}(t)+\frac{1}{4} \varphi^{2}(t-T)-\varphi(t) \varphi(t-T)\right] d t \\
& =1+\frac{1}{4}+0 \\
& =\frac{5}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\varphi_{p}(t)=\frac{p(t)}{\|p\|}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5 T}}\left[\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right)\right]
$$

(c) (2 pts) We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(t) & =\varphi_{p}(t) * \varphi_{p}^{*}(-t) \\
& =\frac{1}{5 T}\left[2 \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)-\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right)\right] *\left[2 \operatorname{sinc}\left(-\frac{t}{T}\right)-\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{-t-T}{T}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{5 T}\left[4 T \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)-2 T \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t+T}{T}\right)-2 T \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right)+T \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)-\frac{2}{5} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t+T}{T}\right)-\frac{2}{5} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

And, $q(0)=1-\frac{2}{5}(0+0)=1, q(-t)=q(t)$, since $\operatorname{sinc}(x)=\operatorname{sinc}(-x)$.
(d) (2 pts) By sampling at $t=k T$, we obtain, $q_{k}=\delta_{k}-\frac{2}{5} \delta_{k-1}-\frac{2}{5} \delta_{k+1}$. Therefore 2 symbols are distorted by a given symbol and they will be decreased assuming the given symbol is positive. The plot for $q(t)$ is shown in Figure 1.
(e) (2 pts) For 8 PAM, we have $|x|_{\max }=3 \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, the peak distortion $\mathcal{D}_{p}$ is,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{p}=|x|_{\max }\|p\| \sum_{k \neq 0}\left|q_{k}\right|=\frac{7}{2} \times \sqrt{\frac{5}{4}} \times \frac{4}{5}=\frac{7}{5} \sqrt{5}
$$



Figure 1: Plot of $q(t)$
(f) ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ p t s}$ ) The MSE distortion is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{M S} & =\mathcal{E}_{x}\|p\|^{2} \sum_{k \neq 0}\left|q_{k}\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{21}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{8}{25}=\frac{21}{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{x}=\frac{d^{2}}{12}\left(M^{2}-1\right)=\frac{21}{4}$.
For this case, $\mathcal{D}_{p}=1.49 \mathcal{D}_{M S}$.
(g) (1 pt) The probability of error can be approximated by,

$$
P_{e} \simeq 1.75 Q\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{4 \sqrt{\sigma^{2}+2.1}}\right)
$$

2. (3.4) Bias and SNR.

In this problem, we substitute $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ with $\beta$ for brevity.
(a) ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ p t}$ ) The receiver is unbiased if $E\left[\beta y_{k} \mid x_{k}\right]=x_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\beta y_{k} \mid x_{k}\right] & =E\left[\beta\left\|| |\left|x_{k}+\beta n_{k}+\beta\|p\| \sum_{m \neq k} x_{m} q_{k-m}\right| x_{k}\right]\right. \\
& =\beta\|p\| x_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The receiver is unbiased if $\beta=\frac{1}{\|p\|}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$. Equivalently, $\alpha=\|p\|$.
(b) ( $2 \mathbf{p t s}$ ) It is assumed that the signal $x_{k}$ and the noise $n_{k}$ are uncorrelated. In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E e_{k}^{2} & =E\left[\left(\beta y_{k}-x_{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[\left(\beta\|p\| x_{k}+\beta n_{k}+\beta\|p\| \sum_{m \neq k} x_{m} q_{k-m}-x_{k}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\beta\|p\|-1)^{2} E x_{k}^{2}+\beta^{2} E n_{k}^{2}+\beta^{2}\|p\|^{2} \mathcal{E}_{x} \sum_{m \neq k} q_{k-m}^{2} \\
& =(\beta\|p\|-1)^{2} \mathcal{E}_{x}+\beta^{2} \sigma^{2}+\beta^{2} \mathcal{D}_{M S}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\beta=\frac{1}{\|p\|}$, the MSE becomes, $E e_{k}^{2} \simeq 1.76$. The corresponding SNR is, $S N R_{u}=2.98=4.75 d B$.
(c) ( 2 pts ) From part (b), the MSE is given by,

$$
E e_{k}^{2}=(\beta\|p\|-1)^{2} \mathcal{E}_{x}+\beta^{2} \sigma^{2}+\beta^{2} \mathcal{D}_{M S}
$$

Differentiating with respect to $\beta$ and setting the expression to zero, yields,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d E\left[e_{k}^{2}\right]}{d \beta} & =2(\beta\|p\|-1)\|p\| \mathcal{E}_{x}+2 \beta \sigma^{2}+2 \beta \mathcal{D}_{M S}=0 \\
\Leftrightarrow \beta & =\frac{\|p\| \mathcal{E}_{x}}{\|p\|^{2} \mathcal{E}_{x}+\sigma^{2}+\mathcal{D}_{M S}} \\
\beta & =\frac{1}{\|p\|}\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{S N R_{u}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

You can verify that this is a minimum by showing that $\frac{d^{2} E\left[e_{e}^{2}\right]}{d \beta^{2}}>0$.
Numerically,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & \simeq 0.670 \\
\alpha & =1.493 \\
S N R_{M M S E} & =3.98=6.0 d B
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) (1 pt) From part (a), $E\left[\beta y_{k} \mid x_{k}\right]=\beta\|p\| x_{k}=0.749 x_{k} \neq x_{k}$. Hence the receiver is biased.
(e) ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{p t}$ ) By definition,

$$
S N R_{M F B}=\frac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{x}\|p\|^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}=65.625=18.2 d B
$$

(f) ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ p t )}$ In this particular case,

$$
S N R_{M F B}>S N R_{M M S E}>S N R_{u}
$$

In general, we will always have $S N R_{M F B}>S N R_{u}$ and $S N R_{M M S E}>S N R_{u}$. However we need not have $S N R_{M F B}>S N R_{M M S E}$.
3. (3.5) Raised cosine pulses with Matlab.
(a) ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ p t s}$ ) The raised cosine pulse is given by,

$$
q(t)=\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi t}{T}\right)}{\frac{\pi t}{T}} \cdot\left[\frac{\cos \left(\frac{\alpha \pi t}{T}\right)}{1-\left(\frac{2 \alpha t}{T}\right)^{2}}\right]
$$

Division by zero occurs at $t=0, \frac{T}{2 \alpha},-\frac{T}{2 \alpha}$.

- At $t=0, \mathrm{q}(0)=1$.
- At $t= \pm \frac{T}{2 \alpha}$. We use L'Hopital's rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\cos \left(\frac{\alpha \pi t}{T}\right)}{1-\left(\frac{2 \alpha t}{T}\right)^{2}} & =\frac{\frac{d}{d t} \cos \left(\frac{\alpha \pi t}{T}\right)}{\frac{d}{d t}\left[1-\left(\frac{2 \alpha t}{T}\right)^{2}\right]} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\alpha \pi}{T} \sin \left(\frac{\alpha \pi t}{T}\right)}{2\left(\frac{2 \alpha t}{T}\right) \frac{2 \alpha}{T}} \\
& =\frac{\pi}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $q\left(\frac{T}{2 \alpha}\right)=q\left(-\frac{T}{2 \alpha}\right)=\frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right)$.
(b) ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ p t s ) ~ T h e ~ p l o t s ~ a r e ~ s h o w n ~ i n ~ F i g u r e s ~ 2 , ~} 3$ and 4. For zero excess bandwidth, we see an obvious deviation from the ideal case because of the non-infinite length sequence (Gibbs phenomenon). (Note:- More details on this can be found in any standard signals textbook. When we truncate the time domain response to 751 points, original frequency response gets convolved with the corresponding 'Dirichlet kernel' to give Gibb's phenomenon effect. This is not visible in the other cases because the time samples neglected are too small to make any difference.)
(c) (3 pts) The plots are shown in Figures 5, 6, and 7. The $50 \%$ excess bandwidth has the smallest region above -40 dB . We would have expected the zero excess bandwidth to be the best, but the Gibbs phenomenon degraded its behavior i.e. it has to do with the practical FIR implementation.
(d) (2 pts) The plots are shown in Figures 8, 9, and 10. As excess bandwidth increases, ISI performance becomes more robust to incorrect sampling.
4. (3.6) Noise enhancement: MMSE-LE vs ZFE.
(a) (2 pts) The ZFE is given by,

$$
W_{Z F E}=\frac{1}{\|p\| Q(D)}=\frac{\|p\|}{a^{*} D^{-1}+\|p\|^{2}+a D}=\frac{\sqrt{1+a a^{*}}}{a^{*} D^{-1}+\left(1+a a^{*}\right)+a D}
$$

The MMSE-LE equalizer is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{M M S E-L E} & =\frac{1}{\|p\|\left(Q(D)+\frac{1}{S N R_{M F B}}\right)}=\frac{\|p\|}{a^{*} D^{-1}+\|p\|^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{S N R_{M F B}}\right)+a D} \\
& =\frac{\|p\|}{a^{*} D^{-1}+b+a D}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b=\|p\|^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{S N R_{M F B}}\right)$.
(b) ( 6 pts) By substituting $D=e^{-j w}$, and for $a$ real, the above filters become,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{Z F E} & =\frac{\|p\|}{\|p\|^{2}+2 a \cos w} \\
W_{M M S E-L E} & =\frac{\|p\|}{b+2 a \cos w}
\end{aligned}
$$

The plots for $\mathrm{a}=0.5,0.9$ are shown in Figure 11. From the plots, it is seen that the ZFE produces more noise enhancement at high frequencies. The effect is more noticeable for $\mathrm{a}=0.9$.


Figure 2: Raised cosine pulse with $0 \%$ excess bandwidth.


Figure 3: Raised cosine pulse with $50 \%$ excess bandwidth.


Figure 4: Raised cosine pulse with $100 \%$ excess bandwidth.


Figure 5: Raised cosine pulse with $0 \%$ excess bandwidth ( dB scale).


Figure 6: Raised cosine pulse with $50 \%$ excess bandwidth (dB scale).


Figure 7: Raised cosine pulse with $100 \%$ excess bandwidth ( dB scale).


Figure 8: Incorrectly sampled raised cosine pulse with $0 \%$ excess bandwidth.


Figure 9: Incorrectly sampled raised cosine pulse with $50 \%$ excess bandwidth.



Figure 10: Incorrectly sampled raised cosine pulse with $100 \%$ excess bandwidth.
(c) ( $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ p t s}$ ) The roots $r_{1}, r_{2}$ of the equation $a D^{2}+b D+a^{*}=0$ are given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=\frac{-b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a a^{*}}}{2 a} \\
& r_{2}=\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a a^{*}}}{2 a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $b$ and $a a^{*}$ are real, $b^{2}-4 a a^{*}$ is real. To prove that it is always positive,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{2}-4 a a^{*} & =\left[\|p\|^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{S N R_{M F B}}\right)\right]^{2}-4 a a^{*} \\
& \geq\left[\|p\|^{2}\right]^{2}-4 a a^{*}=\left(1-a a^{*}\right)^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $r_{1} r_{2}^{*}$ is computed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1} r_{2}^{*} & =\frac{1}{4 a a^{*}}\left(-b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a a^{*}}\right)\left(-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a a^{*}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4 a a^{*}}\left(b^{2}-\left(\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a a^{*}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ p t s}$ ) For the MMSE-LE, the filter is written as,

$$
W_{M M S E-L E}=\frac{\|p\| D}{a^{*}+b D+a D^{2}}=\frac{\|p\|}{a} \cdot \frac{D}{\left(D-r_{1}\right)\left(D-r_{2}\right)}
$$

By expanding $W_{M M S E-L E}$ into partial fractions,

$$
W_{M M S E-L E}(D)=\frac{\|p\|}{a}\left[\frac{A}{D-r_{1}}+\frac{B}{D-r_{2}}\right]
$$



Figure 11: Plot of $W\left(e^{j w}\right)$ for ZFE and MMSE-LE.

We find that $A=\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}-r_{2}}$ and $B=\frac{r_{2}}{r_{2}-r_{1}}$. So,

$$
W_{M M S E-L E}(D)=\frac{\|p\|}{a\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}\left[\frac{r_{1}}{D-r_{1}}-\frac{r_{2}}{D-r_{2}}\right]
$$

(e) (2 pts) Assuming that the sequences are stable, we have,

$$
\frac{r}{D-r} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases}-\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{n} u(n) & \text { for }|r|>1 \\ \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{n} u(-n-1) & \text { for }|r|<1\end{cases}
$$

Evaluating at time $n=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{n} u(n) & =-1, \quad \text { for } n=0 \\
\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{n} u(-n-1) & =0, \quad \text { for } n=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by taking the inverse D transform of $W_{M M S E-L E}(D)$ and evaluating at $n=0$, noting that $\left|r_{1}\right|>\left|r_{2}\right|$ so that $\left|r_{1}\right|>1,\left|r_{2}\right|<1$, yields,

$$
w_{0}=\frac{\|p\|}{a\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}[-1-0]
$$

Replacing for $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, gives, after simplification, $w_{0}=\frac{\|p\|}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a a^{*}}}$.
For the ZFE, we simply take $\frac{1}{S N R_{M F B}}=0$, which leads to, $b=\|p\|^{2}$, and $w_{0}=\frac{\|p\|}{1-a a^{*}}$
(f) $(4 \mathrm{pts})$ The noise variance $\sigma_{Z F E}^{2}$ for the ZFE equalizer, is by definition,

$$
\sigma_{Z F E}^{2}=\frac{w_{0} \sigma^{2}}{\|p\|}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{1-a a^{*}}
$$

The corresponding loss $\gamma_{Z F E}$ is,

$$
\gamma_{Z F E}=\frac{\|p\|^{2} \sigma_{Z F E}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{1+a a^{*}}{1-a a^{*}}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\sigma_{M M S E-L E}^{2}=\frac{w_{0} \sigma^{2}}{\|p\|}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a a^{*}}}
$$

The loss with respect to $S N R_{M F B}$ is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{M M S E-L E} & =\frac{\|p\|^{2} \sigma_{M M S E-L E}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{x}-\sigma_{M M S E-L E}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(1+a a^{*}\right) \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{x}}{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{x} \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a a^{*}}-\sigma^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the particular case $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{x}=1$ and $\sigma^{2}=0.1, b^{2}-4 a a^{*}$ is given by,

$$
b^{2}-4 a a^{*}=1.21-1.8 a a^{*}+a^{2}\left(a^{*}\right)^{2}
$$

(g) (4 pts) The losses with respect to $S N R_{M F B}$ were computed for $a=0,0.5,1$. The results are:

| $a$ | $\gamma_{Z F E}(\mathrm{~dB})$ | $\gamma_{M M S E-L E}(\mathrm{~dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.5 | 2.22 | 1.90 |
| 1.0 | $\infty$ | 5.68 |

The plots for $\gamma_{Z F E}$ and $\gamma_{M M S E-L E}$ are shown in Figure 12.
5. (3.10) Bias and Probability of Error.
(a) ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{p t}$ ) The MSE is given by,

$$
\left.E\left[e_{k}^{2}\right]=E\left(y_{k}-x_{k}\right)^{2}\right]=E\left[n_{k}^{2}\right]=0.1
$$

(b) ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ p t ) ~ T h e ~ p r o b a b i l i t y ~ o f ~ e r r o r ~ i s ~ g i v e n ~ b y , ~}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{e} & =\frac{1}{3} P_{e \mid x=-1}+\frac{1}{3} P_{e \mid x=0}+\frac{1}{3} P_{e \mid x=1} \\
& =\frac{1}{3} Q\left(\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\right)+\frac{2}{3} Q\left(\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\right)+\frac{1}{3} Q\left(\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\right) \\
& =\frac{4}{3} Q\left(\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\right) \\
& =\frac{4}{3} Q\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{0.1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{4}{3} \times 0.0565=0.0753 .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 12: Plot of $\gamma$ for ZFE and MMSE-LE
(c) $(2 \mathrm{pts})$ It is assumed here that the signal $x_{k}$ and the noise $n_{k}$ are uncorrelated. The MSE is given by,

$$
E\left[e_{k}^{2}\right]=E\left[\left(x_{k}-\alpha y_{k}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

Differentiating with respect to $\alpha$ and setting the expression to zero, yields,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d E\left[e_{k}^{2}\right]}{d \alpha} & =2 E\left[\left(x_{k}-\alpha y_{k}\right) \cdot\left(-y_{k}\right)\right]=0 \\
\Longrightarrow \alpha & =\frac{\mathcal{E}_{x}}{\mathcal{E}_{x}+\sigma^{2}}=\frac{2}{2.3}
\end{aligned}
$$

You can verify that this is a minimum by showing that

$$
\frac{d^{2} E\left[e_{k}^{2}\right]}{d \alpha^{2}}=2 E\left[y_{k}^{2}\right]>0
$$

(d) (2 pts) With the $\alpha$ found in part(c), we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[e_{k}^{2}\right] & =E\left[\left(x_{k}-\alpha y_{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =E\left[\left((1-\alpha) x_{k}-\alpha n_{k}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(1-\alpha)^{2} \mathcal{E}_{x}+\alpha^{2} \sigma^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{2}{2.3}\right)^{2} \frac{2}{3}+\left(\frac{2}{2.3}\right)^{2} 0.1 \\
& =0.087
\end{aligned}
$$

You can think of $\alpha y_{k}$ as having the signal $\tilde{x}_{k}=\alpha x_{k}$ and the noise $\tilde{n}_{k}=\alpha n_{k}$. Then the signal constellation of $\tilde{x}_{k}$ becomes $\{-\alpha, 0, \alpha\}$ and $\tilde{n}_{k}$ has the variance $(\alpha \sigma)^{2}$. Therefore, the probability of error using the same decision boundary in part (b) is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{e} & =\frac{1}{3} P_{e \mid \tilde{x}=-\alpha}+\frac{1}{3} P_{e \mid \tilde{x}=0}+\frac{1}{3} P_{e \mid \tilde{x}=\alpha} \\
& =\frac{1}{3} Q\left(\frac{-1 / 2+\alpha}{\alpha \sigma}\right)+\frac{2}{3} Q\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha \sigma}\right)+\frac{1}{3} Q\left(\frac{\alpha-1 / 2}{\alpha \sigma}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{3} Q\left(\frac{.85}{2 \sqrt{0.1}}\right)+\frac{2}{3} Q\left(\frac{1.15}{2 \sqrt{0.1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{3} \times 0.087+\frac{2}{3} \times 0.0343 \\
& =0.082
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) (1 pt) The optimal decision rule(ML detector) is,

$$
\hat{x}= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { when } \tilde{y}_{k}=\alpha y_{k}<-\frac{\alpha}{2} \\ 1 & \text { when } \tilde{y}_{k}=\alpha y_{k}>\frac{\alpha}{2} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then it is easy to see that the probability of error is the same as that of part(b), either by direct calculation or by noting that the signal part and the noise part are scaled by the same factor.
6. (3.21) ISI quantification.

The first step is to obtain a discrete-time ISI channel model (ie. $Q(D)$ ) from the continuoustime channel $P(\omega)$. To this end, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(f) & =\sqrt{T}\left(1+0.9 \cdot e^{j 2 \pi f T}\right) \cdot \sqcap(f T) \quad, \text { where } \\
\sqcap(f T) & = \begin{cases}1 & , \text { when }|f|<\frac{1}{2 T} \\
0 & , \text { else }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(t) & =F^{-1}\{P(f)\} \\
& =\sqrt{T}[\delta(t)+0.9 \delta(t+T)] *\left[\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\left[\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)+0.9 \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t+T}{T}\right)\right] \\
p^{*}(-t) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\left[\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)+0.9 \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\operatorname{sinc}(\mathrm{t})$ is an even function. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(t) * p^{*}(-t) & =1.81 \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)+0.9 \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t+T}{T}\right)+0.9 \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right) \\
\|p\|^{2} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|p(t)|^{2} d t=\left[p(t) * p^{*}(-t)\right]_{t=0}=1.81
\end{aligned}
$$

where we've used the fact that $\operatorname{sinc}(t / T-n) * \operatorname{sinc}(t / T-m)=T \cdot \operatorname{sinc}(t / T-m-n)$ (this is easy to see in the Fourier domain).

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(t) & =\frac{1}{\|p\|^{2}} p(t) * p^{*}(-t) \\
& =\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)+\frac{0.9}{1.81} \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t+T}{T}\right)+\frac{0.9}{1.81} \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t-T}{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by sampling $q(t)$ at $t=k T$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{k} & =\delta_{k}+\frac{0.9}{1.81} \delta_{k+1}+\frac{0.9}{1.81} \delta_{k-1} \\
Q(D) & =\frac{0.9}{1.81} D^{-1}+1+\frac{0.9}{1.81} D
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives us the discrete-time ISI channel model. Note that you could have also use your own favourite analog to digital conversion formulae to do this conversion.
Now that the hard work is done, the problem can be solved quickly.
(a) (1 pt) The peak distortion is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{p} & =\|p\| \cdot|x|_{\max } \sum_{k \neq 0}\left|q_{k}\right| \\
& =\sqrt{1.81} \cdot 1 \cdot(2 \cdot 0.9 / 1.81)=1.338
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) (2 pts) $S N R_{M F B}=\bar{E}_{x} \cdot\|p\|^{2} / \sigma^{2}=10$, and so $\sigma^{2}=0.181$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{e} & =Q\left(\frac{d_{\min }\|p\| / 2-D_{p}}{\sigma}\right) \\
& =Q\left(\frac{2 \cdot \sqrt{1.81} / 2-1.338}{\sqrt{0.181}}\right) \\
& =Q(0.01747)=0.493 \quad \text { (or } 0.5 \text { if you don't have Matlab !) }
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) (1 pt) The mean-square distortion is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{m s} & =\|p\|^{2} \cdot E_{x} \sum_{k \neq 0}\left|q_{k}\right|^{2} \\
& =1.81 \cdot 1 \cdot\left(2 \cdot 0.9^{2} / 1.81^{2}\right)=0.895 \quad \text { which is }<D_{p}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) (2 pts) The probability of error is

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{e} & =Q\left(\frac{d_{\min }\|p\| / 2}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}+D_{m s}}}\right) \\
& =Q\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{1.81} / 2}{\sqrt{0.181+0.895}}\right) \\
& =Q(1.297)=0.0973
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ p t s}$ ) As shown in Example 3.3 .1 of the course reader, the SNR for ZFE is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S N R_{Z F E} & =\frac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{x}}{5.26 \frac{N_{0}}{2}} \\
& =0.2 d B
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{e, Z F E} & \approx Q\left(\sqrt{S N R_{Z F E}}\right) \\
& =0.153
\end{aligned}
$$

In part d we found that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S N R & =1.297^{2}= \\
& =2.2 \mathrm{~dB}
\end{aligned}
$$

The SNR difference is 2 dB , and it is evident that the ZFE performs worse than doing no equalization.

Note the following points:

- Whatever method you use to obtain $Q(D)$ from the analog channel, make sure that $q_{0}=1$.
- $D_{m s}$ dominates the mean-square error in part d. Thus, ISI can severly degrade receiver performance.

7. (3.30) Equalizers.
(a) (1pt) The pulse response is $p(t)=\phi(t) * h(t)$. In frequency domain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(f) & =\Phi(f) H(f) \\
& =(\sqrt{T} \sqcap(T f))\left(\frac{1}{1+a e^{j 2 \pi f}} \sqcap(f)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{1+a e^{j 2 \pi f}} \sqcap(f) \quad(\text { since } \mathrm{T}=1)
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of $\omega$,

$$
P(\omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{1+a e^{j \omega}} & |\omega| \leq \pi \\
0 & |\omega|>\pi
\end{array}\right.
$$

(b) (2 pts) First, let's find $P\left(e^{-j \omega T}\right)$.

$$
P\left(e^{-j \omega T}\right)=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P\left(\omega+\frac{2 \pi n}{T}\right)
$$

Since T=1 and $P(\omega)=0$ for $|\omega|>\pi, P\left(e^{-j \omega T}\right)=\frac{1}{1+a e^{j \omega}}$. Then, by the inverse Fourier transform,

$$
p_{k}=(-a)^{-k} u[-k] .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|p\|^{2} & =T \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|p_{k}\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k=-\infty}^{0}(-a)^{2 k} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-a)^{2 k} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-a^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) (3 pts) By substituting $e^{-j \omega T}=D$ into $P\left(e^{-j \omega T}\right)$, we get $P(D)=\frac{1}{1+a D^{-1}}$. Therefore,

$$
Q(D)=\frac{T}{\|p\|^{2}} P(D) P^{*}\left(D^{-*}\right)=\frac{1-a^{2}}{(1+a D)\left(1+a D^{-1}\right)} .
$$

(d) (3 pts) For the zero-forcing equalizer,

$$
W_{Z F E}(D)=\frac{1}{\|p\| Q(D)}=\frac{(1+a D)\left(1+a D^{-1}\right)}{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}} .
$$

To calculate $W_{M M S E-L E}(D)$, we need $S N R_{M F B}$ :

$$
S N R_{M F B}=\frac{\|p\|^{2} \overline{\mathcal{E}_{x}}}{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{10^{1.5}}{1-a^{2}}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{M M S E-L E}(D) & =\frac{1}{\|p\|\left(Q(D)+1 / S N R_{M F B}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}{\frac{1-a^{2}}{(1+a D)\left(1+a D^{-1}\right)}+\frac{1+a^{2}}{10^{15}}} \\
& =\frac{(1+a D)\left(1+a D^{-1}\right)}{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}\left[1+(1+a D)\left(1+a D^{-1}\right) 10^{-1.5}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) (1 pt) When $a=0, Q(D)=1$ and $\|p\|^{2}=1$. Since $S N R=15 \mathrm{~dB}$ and $\Gamma=8.8 \mathrm{~dB}$ at $P_{e}=10^{-6}$,

$$
\bar{b}=\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}\left(1+\frac{10^{1.5}}{10^{0.88}}\right)=1.18
$$

Then, the maximum data rate achievable is

$$
R=\frac{b}{T}=\frac{1.18}{1}=1.18 \mathrm{bits} / \mathrm{sec}
$$

